

Application No. 10/566,068  
Amendment Dated 05/02/2007  
Reply to Office Action of 04/05/2007

**Remarks/Arguments:**

In response to the restriction requirement dated 04/05/2007 Applicants are filing an amended set of claims. Specifically:

- Claims 1, 7, 8, 9 and 18 have been amended;
- Claim 2 has been cancelled; and
- Claims 16 and 20-22 are withdrawn pending rejoinder.

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants hereby elect Group I without traverse.

Applicants have, however, slightly varied Group I from the group outlined in the Restriction Requirement. Specifically Applicants have retained the original definition of "r". Permission for such a variation was given by the Examiner during a telephone call between the undersigned and the Examiner on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2007.

Applicants further request rejoinder of the process claims, claims 16 and 20-22, finding basis in the MPEP at section 821.04(b) under Rejoinder of Process Requiring an Allowable Product where it is stated that:

*... if applicant elects a claim(s) directed to a product which is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined. Upon rejoinder of claims directed to a previously nonelected process invention, the restriction requirement between the elected product and rejoined process(es) will be withdrawn.*

Applicants believe that the present circumstances fulfill this set of criteria and respectfully request that the process claims, 16 and 20-22, are rejoined. Claims 16 and 20-22 are dependent on claim 1, and thus contain all the limitations of claim 1.

Applicants draw the Examiners attention to the accompanying SB08 filed herewith.

The above amendments have been made without prejudice to Applicants right to prosecute any cancelled subject matter in a timely filed continuation application.

Applicants believe the application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Application No. 10/566,068  
Amendment Dated 05/02/2007  
Reply to Office Action of 04/05/2007

Although Applicants believe no fees are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in the fees or credit any overpayment to deposit account No. 50-3231, referencing Attorney Docket No. 101160-1P US.

Respectfully submitted,

/Lucy Padget/

---

Name: Lucy Padget  
Dated: 2<sup>nd</sup> May 2007  
Reg. No.: L0074  
Phone No.: 781-839-4182  
Global Intellectual Property, Patents,  
AstraZeneca R&D Boston,  
35, Gatehouse Drive,  
Waltham,  
MA 02451